

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO

---

LINDA HENKEL,	:	CASE NO. 1:17-cv-1202
Plaintiff,	:	
vs.	:	OPINION AND ORDER
COMMISSIONER OF SOCIAL	:	
SECURITY,	:	[Resolving Doc. <a href="#">1</a> ]
Defendant.	:	
	:	

---

JAMES S. GWIN, UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE:

On June 8, 2017, Plaintiff Linda Henkel filed a complaint seeking judicial review of Defendant Commissioner of Social Security's decision to deny her applications for period of disability and disability benefits.<sup>1</sup> The Court referred the matter to Magistrate Judge Jonathan D. Greenberg.

On April 10, 2018, Magistrate Judge Greenberg issued a Report and Recommendation ("R&R") recommending that this Court affirm the Commissioner's final decision.<sup>2</sup> Objections to that R&R were due by April 24, 2018. Plaintiff Henkel filed no objections.

The Federal Magistrates Act requires a district court to conduct a *de novo* review only of those portions of an R&R to which the parties have made an objection.<sup>3</sup> Failure to timely object waives a party's right to appeal the Magistrate Judge's R&R.<sup>4</sup> So, where a party does not object to the R&R, a district court may adopt it without review.<sup>5</sup>

---

<sup>1</sup> Doc. [1](#); Doc. [16](#) at 1.

<sup>2</sup> *Id.*

<sup>3</sup> 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C).

<sup>4</sup> *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 145 (1985); *United States v. Walters*, 638 F.2d 947, 949-50 (6th Cir. 1981).

<sup>5</sup> See *Thomas*, 474 U.S. at 149-50.

Accordingly, in light of Plaintiff Henkel's decision not to object to the R&R in this case, the Court **ADOPTS** Magistrate Judge Greenberg's R&R, incorporates it as if fully restated herein, and **AFFIRMS** the Commissioner's denial of benefits.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: April 29, 2018

*s/ James S. Gwin*  
JAMES S. GWIN  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE